UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

ΕV	ERET'	г сох	#235218,

Plaintiff,

File No. 2:08-CV-82

v.

HON. ROBERT HOLMES BELL

RICHARD B. STAPLETON, et al.,

Defendants.		

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING COMPLAINT

On May 15, 2008, United States Magistrate Judge Timothy P. Greeley issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that Plaintiff Everett Cox's prisoner civil rights complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A(b), and 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c) for failure to state a claim. Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal on May 21, 2008, which this Court has construed as an objection to the R&R. (Dkt. No. 6.)

This Court is required to make a de novo determination of those portions of the R&R to which objection has been made, and may accept, reject, or modify any or all of the Magistrate Judge's findings or recommendations. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

In order to satisfy the objection requirement "[t]he objections must be clear enough to enable the district court to discern those issues that are dispositive and contentious."

Spencer v. Bouchard, 449 F.3d 721, 725 (6th Cir. 2006) (quoting Miller v. Currie, 50 F.3d

373, 380 (6th Cir. 1995)). Objections disputing the correctness of the R&R but failing to

specify the findings believed to be in error are too general. *Id*.

Plaintiff's notice of appeal does not specify the findings he believes to be in error.

Plaintiff's notice of appeal is, at best, a general objection to the R&R, which is tantamount

to no objection at all.

The Court is satisfied that the R&R recommends the appropriate disposition of this

case. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's notice of appeal, construed as an

objection to the R&R (Dkt. No. 6) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the

Magistrate Judge (Dkt. No. 5) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED for failure

to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2), 1915A, and 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this dismissal shall count as a STRIKE for

purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court finds no good-faith basis for appeal

within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

Dated: October 30, 2008

/s/ Robert Holmes Bell

ROBERT HOLMES BELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2